IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Original Jurisdiction

Dated Nainital the 7th day of January 2004

Crl. Bail Application No. 05 of 2004

Order on the Bail Application

CRIMINAL SIDE

Fareed Singh S/o Makhan Singh,
R/o Haphijganj, P.S. Haphijganj,
Distt. Bareilly (U.P.) ….. Applicant

Vs.

State of Uttaranchal. ……. Opposite Party

Crime No. 728 of 2003.
Under Sections. 364A/ 368/120-B IPC
P.S.Rudrapur,
District- Udham Singh Nagar.

By the court.

Hon,ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri Dharam Veer Sharma, learned counsel for the accused/applicant and learned A.G.A.

It is argued that co-accused Suhkdev singh @ Sukkha has already been granted to bail on 24.12.2003. The order is quoted below:-

"Heard Shri Dharam Veer, learned counsel for the applicant and learned G.A.

The applicants are facing prosecution for the offence punishable under Sections 364A, 368, 120B IPC.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I direct that the applicant namely Suhkdev Singh be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond each with two sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the C.J.M., Udham Singh Nagar."

The applicant has submitted that the role assigned to the applicant and Suhkdew Singh @ Sukkha is the same.

Considering the facts and circumstances, it is a fit case for grant of bail.

Let the applicant Fareed Singh be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the C.J.M. Udham Singh Nagar.

Dated 07.01.2004: **(Rajesh Tandon, J.)**
NCM: